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#### Abstract

Recently, discrete sets of numbers, the $\beta$-integers $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$, have been proposed as numbering tools in quasicrystalline studies. Indeed, there exists a unique numeration system based on the irrational $\beta>1$ in which the $\beta$-integers are all real numbers with no fractional part. These $\beta$-integers appear to be quite appropriate for describing some quasilattices relevant to quasicrystallography when precisely $\beta$ is equal to $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ (golden mean $\tau$ ), to $1+\sqrt{2}$, or to $2+\sqrt{3}$, i.e. when $\beta$ is one of the self-similarity ratios observed in quasicrystalline structures. As a matter of fact, $\beta$-integers are natural candidates for coordinating quasicrystalline nodes, and also the Bragg peaks beyond a given intensity in corresponding diffraction patterns: they could play the same role as ordinary integers do in crystallography.

In this paper, we prove interesting algebraic properties of the sets $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ when $\beta$ is a 'quadratic unit PV number', a class of algebraic integers which includes the quasicrystallographic cases. We completely characterize their respective Meyer additive and multiplicative properties $$
\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}+\mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}+F \quad \mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}+G
$$ where $F$ and $G$ are finite sets, and also their respective $G$ Galois conjugate sets $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{\prime}$. These properties allow one to develop a notion of a quasiring $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$. We hope that in this way we will initiate a sort of algebraic quasicrystallography in which we can understand quasilattices which be 'module on a quasiring' in $\mathbb{R}^{d}: \Lambda_{\beta}=\sum_{i} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta} e_{i}$. We give also some two-dimensional examples with $\beta=\tau$.


## 1. Introduction

Studies on physical and mathematical properties of deterministic aperiodic structures have recently been very intensive, strongly motivated by the experimental discovery of quasicrystals (see [18, 19, 36]).

In this context, quasilattices can be defined as mathematical discrete sets supporting atomic sites in quasiperiodic material structures such as quasicrystals. They play the same role as the lattices do for crystals. Various interesting definitions of quasilattices have been proposed in the past, dating back to 1984 with the discovery of the first quasicrystalline alloy. Most of these definitions are of geometrical nature, sticking to crystalline lattice theory through the celebrated cut and project method (see [33]), or issued from involved packing construction in real spacelike the generalized dual method [24, 34]. More 'algebraic' approaches were initiated, by several authors (see for instance [1, 2]). Recent school or
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workshop proceedings give a good account of this original interactive field mixing number theory, lattices and experimental physics (see [26, 28] for instance).

It has also been acknowledged that most of the algebraic and functional approaches to quasilattices, e.g. the cut and project method and involved Fourier analysis, should mention pioneer results obtained more than 25 years ago by Meyer [25, 26]. The notion of a quasilattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ proposed by Meyer rests upon the idea that the quasilattice should be 'almost' closed under subtraction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda-\Lambda \subset \Lambda+F \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is some finite set. For most of such quasilattices $\Lambda$ should follow from (1) wellcontrolled properties for their diffraction spectrum, but it is not true in general (as Lagarias has shown [22, 23]).

On the other hand, one can deal with lattice internal laws within an equivalence class of quasilattices which differ from each other by the addition of finite sets. For the definition and application of a Meyer set in the problem of finite generation of quasilattices, we refer to [28]. Some authors use the name 'quasicrystal' to designate the structures they build in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We here prefer the generic term 'quasilattice', since certain real material structures are called quasicrystal.

An interesting algebraic definition of quasilattices [5,27] was introduced more than five years ago by Moody and Patera, and their possible symmetry groups and semi-groups have been investigated [3, 4, 31]. More recently, one of us [12-16] suggested studying algebraic models of quasilattices based on countable sets of numbers, denoted by $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$, and named $\beta$ integers, where $\beta$ is some real number. These quasilattices $\Lambda_{\beta}$ are scaling invariant under dilation by $\beta>1$, and $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ is precisely the counting system with origin, i.e. the numerical frame, in which we should think about structural properties of $\Lambda_{\beta}$, exactly like the first crystallographers did with lattices and ordinary integers.

As a matter of fact, these sets $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ are natural candidates for coordinating quasicrystalline nodes in one, two or three dimensions, and also the Bragg peaks in related diffraction patterns [ 9,17$]$. In the observed cases:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\beta=\tau=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}=2 \cos \frac{\pi}{5} & \text { (penta or decagonal quasilattices) } \\
\beta=\gamma=1+\sqrt{2}=1+2 \cos \frac{\pi}{4} & \text { (octogonal case) } \\
\beta=\delta=2+\sqrt{3}=2+2 \cos \frac{\pi}{12} & \text { (dodecagonal case). } \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

Generically, $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ is obtained by means of a finite algorithm where $\beta$ is a PisotVijayaraghavan number or more simply Pisot number, i.e. an algebraic integer $\beta>1$, which is solution to the irreducible polynomial of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{m}=a_{m-1} X^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{1} X+a_{0} \quad a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that all other solutions $\beta^{(i)}$ of (5) (Galois conjugates of $\beta$ ) have modulus strictly smaller than 1,

$$
\beta^{(0)}=\beta \quad\left|\beta^{(i)}\right|<1 \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m-1 .
$$

Therefore $d$-dimensional discrete sets of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta} e_{i} \quad \text { where }\left\{e_{i}\right\} \text { is a basis in } \mathbb{R}^{d}, d=1,2,3 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

can advantageously play the role of 'grid frame' or ('millimetre paper' if $d=2$ ) for labelling quasicrystalline atomic sites in real space, exactly as integer lattices ( $\mathbb{Z}$-modules)


Figure 1. Penrose quasilattice as a subset of the $\tau$-grid $\Gamma_{1}$ (figure 4).


Figure 2. Diffraction pattern of Penrose quasilattice of figure 1 as a subset of the $\tau$-grid $\Gamma_{1}$.
are appropriate to real crystalline structures. Indeed, it seems that most of the quasilattices obtained by cut and project [20] or by algebraic 'filtering' [27] within the dense $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ module are supported by sets of the type $\Lambda$. In figures 1 and 2 we give simple demonstrative examples of such labelling properties.

Those $\beta$-integer quasilattices are neither translationally nor rotationally invariant of course, although they contain rotationally invariant subsets obtained through the cut and project method. Moreover they still display nice algebraic and geometrical features, which straightforwardly generalize those for lattices. We already mentioned their similarity property under scaling by $\beta$ :

$$
\beta \Lambda \subset \Lambda
$$

which is due to

$$
\beta \mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}
$$

We shall eventually see how a concept of a quasiring and modules on a quasiring emerges from the study of additive and multiplicative properties of $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}+\mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}+X \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}+Y \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ and $Y$ are to be determined in a non-ambiguous way. The aim of this paper is to present some interesting properties of $\beta$-integers and to give (partial) answer to (7) and (8). Motivations were presented in [14]. We here give complete proofs of some results claimed in [14] and we extend them substantially to more general cases. Of course, we are mainly concerned by the three quasicrystallographic cases (2)-(4), but we shall also give original results for general quadratic unit Pisot numbers, namely those $\beta$ which are solution to

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x^{2}=a x+1 & a \in \mathbb{Z}, a \geqslant 1 \\
x^{2}=a x-1 & a \in \mathbb{Z}, a \geqslant 3 . \tag{10}
\end{array}
$$

In the next section, we shall present the basic definitions concerning $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ on one hand, and concerning $\beta$-quasilattices and Meyer sets in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ on the other hand. These definitions will be followed by a first result about the Meyer property of $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ when $\beta$ is Pisot.

Section 3 is devoted to the ubiquitous golden mean $\beta=\tau$. Indeed icosahedral or decagonal quasicrystals are among the most stable quasicrystalline phases and the irrational $\tau$ is the simplest Pisot number in many aspects. It is the reason why it deserves a specific and pedagogical treatment on its own. As a matter of fact, we prove the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \subset \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}}{\tau^{2}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\left\{0, \pm \frac{1}{\tau}, \pm \frac{1}{\tau^{2}}\right\} \\
& \mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \subset \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}}{\tau^{2}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\left\{0, \pm \frac{1}{\tau}, \pm \frac{1}{\tau^{2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In section 4, we give precise inclusions for general quadratic unit Pisot $\beta$ of the type (9), (10), and these results are also new. In section 5, we discuss the notion of quasiring structure which emerges from our results. In particular we show how the existence of a quasiaddition as an internal law $\dot{+}$ for $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ allows one to generate the whole set in an inductive way, starting from the 'seed' $\{-1,1\}$. Finally, in section 6 we shall consider $\tau$-quasilattices in the plane, of the form (6), in order to give a pedagogical insight of the importance of $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ in quasicrystalline studies.

## 2. Delaunay-Meyer sets, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-expansions and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-integers

A Delaunay set in space is typically a mathematical model for the set of atomic sites in large material structures. It fills the space in a not too dense and not too discrete manner. More precisely we define (see [26]) the following.

Definition 2.1. A subset $\Lambda$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a Delaunay set if there exist two radii $R_{2}>R_{1}>0$ such that each ball with radius $R_{1}$, whatever its location, shall contain at most one point from $\Lambda$ while each ball with radius $R_{2}$, whatever its location, shall contain at least one point from $\Lambda$.

A Meyer quasilattice is a Delaunay set which is endowed with arithmetic properties: it is closed under subtraction modulo a finite set. More precisely we define the following.

Definition 2.2. A Meyer quasilattice $\Lambda$ is a Delaunay set in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda-\Lambda \subset \Lambda+F \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is a finite set in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

In the following we shall mainly deal with sets symmetrical with respect to space inversion $\Lambda \rightarrow-\Lambda$. Such a Meyer quasilattice then obeys $\Lambda+\Lambda \subset \Lambda+F$ and it becomes possible to provide $\Lambda$ with a quasiaddition law when $F$ is given a non-ambiguous characterization. Indeed, if we have $x, y \in \Lambda, x+y=\eta+f$ where $\eta \in \Lambda, f \in F$, then we define $x \dot{+} y=\eta$. This internal law is commutative but not associative. Its natural framework is the class of equivalent Meyer sets defined in the following way.
Definition 2.3. Two subsets $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ are equivalent modulo finite sets if there exist two finite sets $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\Lambda \subset \Lambda^{\prime}+F^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \Lambda^{\prime} \subset \Lambda+F
$$

and we then write $\Lambda \sim \Lambda^{\prime}$.
It is clear that $\Lambda+\Lambda \subset(\Lambda+F) \sim \Lambda$ if $\Lambda$ is a Meyer symmetrical set. If one imposes a Meyer quasilattice $\Lambda$ to be scaling invariant under dilation by $\beta>1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \Lambda \subset \Lambda \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\beta$ has to be an algebraic integer. More precisely Meyer has proved the following assertion [25].
Theorem 2.1. If $\Lambda$ is a Meyer quasilattice, if $\beta>1$ is a real number, and if (12) holds true, then $\beta$ is either a Pisot number or is a Salem number. Conversely, for each dimension $d$ and each Pisot or Salem number $\beta$, there exists a Meyer quasilattice $\Lambda$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that $\beta \Lambda \subset \Lambda$.

We recall that a Salem number $\beta=\beta^{(0)}$ is an algebraic integer $\beta>1$ such that all algebraic conjugates $\beta^{(i)}, i>0$, lie within the closed unit disk and at least one of them lies on the unit circle.

Our construction of (possible) quasilattices has something to do with this remarkable connection between a class of algebraic integers and self-similarity of discrete subsets in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. For any $\beta>1$, there exist countable canonical sets in $\mathbb{R}$ which are $\beta$-scaling invariant. Their construction rests upon notions first introduced by Rényi [32], and later developed in [7].

Let $\beta$ be non-integer and $\beta>1$. The $\beta$-expansion of an arbitrary positive real number $x$ is the series $\left(\xi_{l}\right)_{-\infty \leqslant l \leqslant j}$ such that

$$
x=\sum_{i=-\infty}^{j} \xi_{i} \beta^{i}
$$

where $j$ is the highest integer such that

$$
\beta^{j} \leqslant x<\beta^{j+1}
$$

the positive integers $\xi_{l}$ assume their values in the alphabet

$$
\{0,1,2, \ldots,[\beta]\} \quad([\beta] \text { is the integer part of } \beta)
$$

and are computed by using the so-called greedy algorithm. One recursively defines

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\xi_{j}=\left[x / \beta^{j}\right] \quad r_{j}=\left\{x / \beta^{j}\right\} \text { (the fractional part of } x / \beta^{j}\right) \\
& \text { and for } l<j \quad \xi_{l}=\left[\beta r_{l+1}\right], r_{l}=\left\{\beta r_{l+1}\right\} \ldots \\
& \text { finally, if } j<0 \text {, we put } \xi_{0}=\xi_{-1}=\cdots=\xi_{j+1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

For brevity we also write

$$
\left.x=\xi_{j} \xi_{j-1} \xi_{j-2} \ldots \xi_{0} \cdot \xi_{-1} \xi_{-2} \xi_{-3} \ldots \quad \text { (e.g. } 2=10.01 \text { when } \beta=\tau\right)
$$

The highest power of $\beta$ appearing in the $\beta$-expansion of $x$ will be called the $\beta$-degree of $x$ and will be denoted by $\operatorname{deg}_{\beta}(x)$, so we have $j=\operatorname{deg}_{\beta}(x)$. When a $\beta$-expansion ends in infinitely many zeros, it is said to be finite, and the ending zeros are omitted.

We will denote by

$$
\operatorname{int}(x)=\xi_{j} \beta^{j}+\cdots+\xi_{0}
$$

the 'integral part' of $x$ and by

$$
\operatorname{frac}(x)=\xi_{-1} \beta^{-1}+\xi_{-2} \beta^{-2}+\cdots
$$

the 'fractional' part of $x$.
The set of real numbers which have a zero fractional part in their $\beta$-expansion is named set of $\beta$-integers and is denoted by

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{ \pm\left(\xi_{j} \beta^{j}+\xi_{j-1} \beta^{j-1}+\cdots+\xi_{1} \beta+\xi_{0}\right)\right\}=\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+} \cup\left(-\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}\right)
$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$designates the set of non-negative $\beta$-integers.
Some configurations $\xi_{j} \xi_{j-1} \ldots \xi_{l} \ldots$ in the above definition are not possible. What is allowed and what is forbidden in the set of $\beta$-expansions is completely determined by what is called the Rényi $\beta$-expansion of 1 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(1, \beta) & =t_{1} \beta^{-1}+t_{2} \beta^{-2}+\cdots \\
& =0 . t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{l} \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t_{l} \in\{0,1, \ldots,[\beta]\}$. This expansion is reminiscent of the identity $1=0.99 \ldots 9 \ldots$ in the decimal system. It is obtained by the following process:

$$
t_{1}=[\beta] \quad r_{1}=\{\beta\} \ldots, t_{l}=\left[\beta r_{l-1}\right] \quad r_{l}=\left\{\beta r_{l-1}\right\} \ldots
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
t_{l}=\left[\beta T_{\beta}^{l-1}(1)\right]
$$

where

$$
T_{\beta}(x)=\beta x \quad(\bmod 1)
$$

In this context, note that the greedy-algorithm coefficient $\xi_{l}$ of a real number $x \in[0,1)$ is also equal to

$$
\xi_{-l}=\left[\beta T_{\beta}^{l-1}(x)\right] .
$$

We then have the $\beta$-expansion rule [29].
Proposition 2.2. No infinite sequence of positive integers is present in any $\beta$-expansion if itself and all its (one-sided) shifted are lexicographically larger than or equal to:

$$
t_{1} t_{2} \ldots \quad \text { if the latter is infinite }
$$

and to:

$$
\left(t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{m-1}\left(t_{m}-1\right)\right)^{\omega} \quad \text { if } d(1, \beta)=0 . t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{m-1} t_{m} \text { is finite. }
$$

()$^{\omega}$ means that the word within () is indefinitely repeated.

Therefore, once $d(1, \beta)$ is known, it becomes possible (in principle, but it may turn out to be unpracticable!) to build up $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ by following the lexicographical order of the allowed sequences.

The countable set $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ is naturally self-similar and symmetrical with respect to the origin:

$$
\beta \mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}=-\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}
$$

It tiles the line with a finite or infinite number of intervals separating two nearest neighbours $x_{i}<x_{i+1}$ with lengths of the tiles $l_{i}=x_{i+1}-x_{i}$. Now we are concerned by sets $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ that are Delaunay and possibly Meyer. This is at a certain extent assured for the two following important results.
Theorem 2.3 ([7]). Suppose that $\beta$ is a Pisot number. Then the Rényi $\beta$-expansion of 1 is eventually periodic,

$$
d(1, \beta)=0 . t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{m}\left(t_{m+1} \ldots t_{m+p}\right)^{\omega}
$$

When $\beta$ is a Pisot number, it follows that $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ is a self-similar tiling of the line with a finite set of different tiles. The lengths of the tiles are $\left\{T_{\beta}^{i}(1), 0 \leqslant i \leqslant m+p-1\right\}$ (see [37]). More precisely the lengths assume their values in the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1, \beta-t_{1}, \beta^{2}-t_{1} \beta-t_{2}, \cdots \\
& \beta^{m+p-1}-t_{1} \beta^{m+p-2}-\cdots-t_{m+p-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, if $\beta$ is Pisot, then $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ is Delaunay, and the radii $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are given by

$$
R_{1}=\min _{i} T_{\beta}^{i}(1)-\epsilon \quad \text { where } \epsilon>0 \text { is a suitable small number }
$$

and

$$
R_{2}=\max _{i} T_{\beta}^{i}(1)=1
$$

Our aim now is to prove that, when $\beta$ is a Pisot number, the set $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ of $\beta$-integers is a Meyer set. We will denote by $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ the following extension ring

$$
\mathbb{Z}[\beta]=\{m+n \beta \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} .
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $R \geqslant 0$ and let

$$
F_{R}=\left\{\operatorname{frac}(z)\left|z=a_{k} \beta^{k}+\cdots+a_{1} \beta+a_{0}, a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z},\left|a_{i}\right| \leqslant R\right\}\right.
$$

If $\beta$ is a Pisot number, then $F_{R}$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$.
Proof. Let $z=\sum_{-\infty \leqslant i \leqslant j} z_{i} \beta^{i}$ be the $\beta$-expansion of $z$. We have $\operatorname{frac}(z)=\sum_{i \geqslant 1} z_{-i} \beta^{-i}=$ $z-\operatorname{int}(z)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i} \beta^{i}-\sum_{i=0}^{j} z_{i} \beta^{i}$. Since $0 \leqslant z_{i} \leqslant[\beta]$ and $\left|a_{i}\right| \leqslant R, \operatorname{frac}(z)$ is a polynomial from $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$, the coefficients of which are bounded by $R+[\beta]$. The lemma is then a consequence of the fact that $\operatorname{frac}(z) \in[0,1)$ and of the following classical result.

Lemma 2.2 (see [35, lemma 6.6]). Let $\beta$ be a Pisot number and let $R \geqslant 0$. Then the set $F_{R}$ is discrete.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\beta>1$ be a Pisot number. Then the set $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ of $\beta$-integers is a Meyer set.
Proof. First consider the sum of two elements $x=x_{k} \beta^{k}+\cdots+x_{0}$ and $y=y_{l} \beta^{l}+\cdots+y_{0}$ from $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$. Then $z=x+y$ is of the form $z=a_{j} \beta^{j}+\cdots+a_{0}$, with $0 \leqslant a_{i} \leqslant 2[\beta]$. By lemma 2.1, $F_{2[\beta]}=\left\{f(z) ; z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}+\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}\right\}$is finite. Thus

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}+\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}+F_{2[\beta]}
$$

Now, suppose that $x \geqslant y$, and let $z=x-y=a_{m} \beta^{m}+\cdots+a_{0},-[\beta] \leqslant a_{i} \leqslant[\beta]$. As above one obtains

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}-\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \pm F_{[\beta]}
$$

Finally, let us mention the following result giving more precision about the set $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}+\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$.

Theorem 2.5 ([11]). Let $\beta$ be a Pisot number. There exists $L=L(\beta)$ having the following properties. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$. If $x+y$ (resp. $x-y$ ) has a finite $\beta$-expansion, then

$$
x+y(\text { resp. } x-y) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta} / \beta^{L}
$$

## 3. Algebraic properties of the set of $\tau$-integers $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$

The golden mean $\tau$ can be considered as the simplest Pisot number. It is the smallest one among the totally real (i.e. whose all conjugates are real) Pisot numbers (see [6]). Because $\tau$ and $-\frac{1}{\tau}=\tau^{\prime}$ are solutions to

$$
X^{2}=X+1
$$

the Rényi expansion of 1 reads:

$$
d(1, \tau)=0.11
$$

This means that no $\tau$-expansion sequence $\xi_{j} \ldots \xi_{0} \cdot \xi_{-1} \ldots \xi_{l} \ldots$ displays two adjacent 1's. More precisely, any positive real number has a $\tau$-expansion

$$
x=\sum_{l=-\infty}^{j} \xi_{l} \tau^{l} \quad \text { with } \xi_{l} \in\{0,1\} \text { and } \xi_{l} \xi_{l+1}=0
$$

The subset of $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{j} \xi_{i} \tau^{i} \text { is the } \tau \text {-expansion of }|x|\right\}
$$

is the set of $\tau$-integers. Positive $\tau$-integers are thus represented by a finite string of 0 's and 1 's, with the condition that no run of two adjacent 1 's occur. It is well known that this corresponds to the representation of natural numbers in the Fibonacci numeration system where the Fibonacci numbers are defined by

$$
f_{n+2} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} f_{n+1}+f_{n} \quad f_{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} 2 \quad f_{0} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} 1
$$

So there is an explicit bijection between integers and the $\tau$-integers (see for instance [8]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\sum_{i=0}^{j_{n}} \xi_{i} f_{i} \longrightarrow x_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{j_{n}} \xi_{i} \tau^{i} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that properties of Fibonacci representations of natural numbers have been investigated by many people (see [21]). Any $\tau$-integer is an element of the algebraic ring

$$
\mathbb{Z}[\tau]=\{m+n \tau \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} .
$$

The latter is actually identical to the set of real numbers which have a finite $\tau$-expansion (see [11]).

An interesting question then arises. For what values of $m$ and $n$ is the combination $m+n \tau$ a $\tau$-integer? In figure 3 we have plotted the lattice points $(m, n)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ such that $m+n \tau \in \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$. They are clearly all the lattice points lying within the bands defined by

$$
\tau x-\tau^{2}<y<\tau x+\tau
$$

in the first quadrant, and by

$$
\tau x-\tau<y<\tau x+\tau^{2}
$$



Figure 3. $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$-numbers.
in the opposite sign quadrant. Note that the band width is $\tau^{3} / \sqrt{1+\tau^{2}}$. This 'inverse' cut and project method (see [20]) leads to the following definition of the positive and negative $\tau$-integers, denoted respectively by $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}^{+}$and $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}^{-}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}^{+}=\left\{m+n \tau \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m, n \geqslant 0,-1<m-\frac{n}{\tau}<\tau\right\}  \tag{14}\\
& \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}^{-}=\left\{m+n \tau \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m, n \leqslant 0,-\tau<m-\frac{n}{\tau}<1\right\} . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

The algebraic meaning of (14) and (15) involves the standard ring automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=m+n \tau \longrightarrow x^{\prime}=m+n \tau^{\prime}=m-\frac{n}{\tau} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce the following 'sieving' procedure from [27] in order to select within the dense ring $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ a Delaunay subset

$$
\Sigma^{P}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{Z}[\tau] \mid x^{\prime} \in P\right\}
$$

where $P$ is some bounded subset in $\mathbb{R}$. Then we can check from the above that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}^{+}=\text {positive part of } \Sigma^{(-1, \tau)}  \tag{17}\\
& \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}^{-}=\text {negative part of } \Sigma^{(-\tau, 1)} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{(-1,1)} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \subset \Sigma^{(-\tau, \tau)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inclusion relations (19) also mean that it is sufficient to sieve from the discrete set $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$ in order to obtain $\Sigma^{(-1,1)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{(-1,1)}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \mid x^{\prime} \in(-1,1)\right\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Scaling (19) with arbitrary powers of $\tau$ leads to the interesting chain [12, 14] of embeddings (see [4] and lemma 4.1 below for an algebraic proof)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{\left(-\tau^{j}, \tau^{j}\right)} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\tau} / \tau^{j} \subset \Sigma^{\left(-\tau^{j+1}, \tau^{j+1}\right)} \quad j \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now turn to the Meyer property of the set $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$. In this specific case, a first estimate for the finite set $F$ in (11) is given by

$$
F=\left\{0, \pm \frac{1}{\tau}, \pm \frac{1}{\tau^{2}}\right\}
$$

However, if we do not think of $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+F$ in terms of unique $\tau$-expansion, more precise inclusions exist (see section 4).

In the present content let us now prove the following.
Theorem 3.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \subset \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}}{\tau^{2}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result restricted to the positive part of $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$, can be found (with a totally different proof) in [10].

Proof. We will define for any $N \in \mathbb{N}, B_{N}=\left\{X \in \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}{ }^{+} \mid X<\tau^{N}\right\}$. It is easy to see that $B_{N}=\tau B_{N-1} \cup\left(1+\tau^{2} B_{N-2}\right)$ and the assertion results from the two following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $N^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{N}+B_{N} \subset \tau^{-2} B_{N^{\prime}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $N=0$ it is trivial and for $N=1$ we use only $1+1=\tau+\frac{1}{\tau^{2}}$. We suppose that (23) is valid until $N$ and for $N+1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{N+1}+B_{N+1} & =\left(\tau B_{N} \cup\left(1+\tau^{2} B_{N-1}\right)\right)+\left(\tau B_{N} \cup\left(1+\tau^{2} B_{N-1}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(\tau B_{N}+\tau B_{N}\right) \cup\left(1+\tau B_{N}+\tau^{2} B_{N-1}\right) \cup\left(2+\tau^{2} B_{N-1}+\tau^{2} B_{N-1}\right) \\
& \subset \tau\left(B_{N}+B_{N}\right) \cup\left(1+\tau\left(B_{N}+B_{N}\right)\right) \cup\left(2+\tau^{2}\left(B_{N-1}+B_{N-1}\right)\right) \\
& \subset \tau^{-1} B_{N^{\prime}} \cup\left(1+\tau^{-1} B_{N^{\prime}}\right) \cup\left(2+B_{(N-1)^{\prime}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to complete the proof we need to prove that the following holds true

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1+\tau^{-1} B_{N} \subset \tau^{-2} B_{N+2}  \tag{24}\\
& 2+B_{N} \subset \tau^{-2} B_{N+3} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

hence for $(N+1)^{\prime}$ we can take $\max \left\{N^{\prime}+2,(N-1)^{\prime}+3\right\}$.
By direct calculation we show that (24) is true for $N=0,1,2$. Furthermore, by induction and by using $B_{N+1}=B_{N} \cup\left(\tau^{N}+B_{N-1}\right)$ we have
$1+\tau^{-1} B_{N+1}=\left(1+\tau^{-1} B_{N}\right) \cup\left(\tau^{N-1}+1+\tau^{-1} B_{N-1}\right)$

$$
\subset \tau^{-2} B_{N+2} \cup\left(\tau^{N-1}+\tau^{-2} B_{N+1}\right) \subset \tau^{-2} B_{N+3}
$$

The proof of (25) is similar.

Lemma 3.2. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $N^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{N}-B_{N} \subset \pm \tau^{-2} B_{N^{\prime}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $N=0$ and $N=1$ it is trivial and for $N=2$, we have only $\tau-1=\frac{1}{\tau}$. We suppose that (26) is valid until $N$, and for $N+1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{N+1}-B_{N+1}=\left(\tau B_{N} \cup\left(1+\tau^{2} B_{N-1}\right)\right)-\left(\tau B_{N} \cup\left(1+\tau^{2} B_{N-1}\right)\right) \\
&= \pm\left(\left(\tau B_{N}-\tau B_{N}\right) \cup\left(1+\tau^{2} B_{N-1}-\tau B_{N}\right) \cup \tau^{2}\left(B_{N-1}-B_{N-1}\right)\right) \\
& \subset \pm\left(\tau^{-1} B_{N^{\prime}} \cup\left(1+\tau^{2}\left(B_{N-1}-B_{N-1}\right)\right)\right. \\
&\left.\cup\left(1-\tau+\tau^{2}\left(B_{N-1}-B_{N-1}\right)\right) \cup B_{(N-1)^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \subset \pm\left(\tau^{-1} B_{N^{\prime}} \cup B_{(N-1)^{\prime}} \cup\left(1 \pm B_{(N-1)^{\prime}}\right) \cup\left(-\tau^{-1} \pm B_{(N-1)^{\prime}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to complete the proof, we have to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{N}-1 \subset \tau^{-1} B_{N+1} \cup\{-1\} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{N}-\tau^{-1} \subset \tau^{-2} B_{N+2} \cup\left\{-\tau^{-1}\right\} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x=0$ and 1 it is obvious and for $x>1, x \in B_{N}$, we shall take its $\tau$-expansion

$$
x=\sum_{i=k+2}^{N-1} c_{i} \tau^{i}+\tau^{k}
$$

and (27) then follows from the two identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau^{k}-1=\tau^{k-1}+\tau^{k-3}+\cdots+\tau^{2}+\tau^{-1} \quad \text { for } k>0 \text { even } \\
& \tau^{k}-1=\tau^{k-1}+\tau^{k-3}+\cdots+\tau \quad \text { for } k>0 \text { odd. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The inclusion (28) is a simple consequence of (27) by using $\left(B_{N}-\tau^{-1}\right)=\tau^{-1}\left(\tau B_{N}-1\right)$.

Remark 3.1. We can tell more about (22) or (23). It can be shown that for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $f \in\left\{0, \pm(1 / \tau), \pm\left(1 / \tau^{2}\right)\right\}$ such that

$$
x_{m+n}=x_{m}+x_{n}+f
$$

where $n \rightarrow x_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$ is the bijection (13).
Remark 3.2. The content of theorem 3.1 means that translational invariance does not hold for $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$. We cannot consider each point of the latter as the origin of another $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$ supporting and supported by the first one. However, (22) also means that there is 'almost' coincidence. Both sets are equal up to $\pm \frac{1}{\tau}$ as it can be guessed from figure 3. Of course the same can be asserted about all Bravais quasilattices of the type (6).

The second result we want to give concerns the multiplicative properties of $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$. Indeed we have the following.

Theorem 3.2.

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \subset \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}}{\tau^{2}}
$$

Proof. We shall show again that, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $N^{\star}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{N} \times B_{N} \subset \tau^{-2} B_{N^{\star}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $N=0,1$ it is trivial. We suppose that (29) is valid until $N$, and for $N+1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{N+1} \times B_{N+1} & =\left(\tau B_{N} \cup\left(1+\tau^{2} B_{N-1}\right)\right) \times\left(\tau B_{N} \cup\left(1+\tau^{2} B_{N-1}\right)\right) \\
& =\tau^{2} B_{N} \times B_{N} \cup\left(1+\tau^{2} B_{N-1}\right) \times \tau B_{N} \cup\left(1+\tau^{2} B_{N-1}\right) \times\left(1+\tau^{2} B_{N-1}\right) \\
& \subset B_{N^{\star}} \cup \tau\left(B_{N}+\tau^{2} B_{N-1} \times B_{N}\right) \cup\left(1+\tau^{2}\left(B_{N-1}+B_{N-1}\right)+\tau^{4} B_{N-1} \times B_{N-1}\right) \\
& \subset \tau^{-2} B_{N^{\star}+2} \cup \tau\left(B_{N}+B_{N^{\star}}\right) \cup\left(1+B_{(N-1)^{\prime}}+\tau^{2} B_{(N-1)^{\star}}\right) \\
& \subset \tau^{-2} B_{N^{\star}+2} \cup \tau^{-2} B_{\left(N^{\star}\right)^{\prime}+1} \cup\left(1+B_{(N-1)^{\prime}}+\tau^{2} B_{\left.(N-1)^{\star}\right)}\right) \\
& \subset \tau^{-2}\left(B_{N^{\star}+2} \cup B_{\left(N^{\star}\right)^{\prime}+1} \cup B_{M^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ are from lemma $3.1, M=\max \left\{(N-1)^{\prime},(N-1)^{\star}\right\}$ and for $(N+1)^{\star}$ we can take $(N+1)^{\star}=\max \left\{\left(N^{\star}\right)^{\prime}+1, N^{\star}+2, M^{\prime}\right\}$.

## 4. Algebraic properties of $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ for $\beta$ a quadratic unit Pisot

We now address the question of characterizing finite sets appearing in (7) and (8) when $\beta$ is a generic quadratic unit Pisot, i.e. is solution to ((9)) or ((10)). Note that the two quasicrystallographic Pisot $\gamma$ and $\delta$ from (3) and (4) belong to these classes. We could of course attempt to extend in this more general case the inductive methods we have employed in the previous section. However, we soon become very puzzled about how to manage difficulties increasing with the values of $a$.

### 4.1. Case where $\beta$ is the root $>1$ of the polynomial $x^{2}-a x-1, a \geqslant 1$

In that case, the canonical alphabet is $A=\{0, \ldots, a\}$, the $\beta$-expansion of 1 is finite, $d(1, \beta)=0 . a 1$, and every positive number of $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ has a finite $\beta$-expansion [11].

Let ' be the Galois automorphism ' $: \beta \longrightarrow-\frac{1}{\beta}$. Recall that if $P$ is some bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}$ with non-empty interior, $\Sigma^{P}$ denotes the set $\left\{z \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta] \mid z^{\prime} \in P\right\}$. We recall that this algebraic filtering of the dense $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ in order to obtain the Delone set $\Sigma^{P}$ is equivalent to the cut and project method $[4,30]$. We first have the following.
Lemma 4.1. Let $\beta$ be the root $>1$ of $x^{2}-a x-1, a \geqslant 1$. Then:
(i) let $z \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta], z>0$ and let $\left(z_{i}\right)_{-m \leqslant i \leqslant n}$ be the $\beta$-expansion of $z$ with $z_{-m} \neq 0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { If } m>0 \text { then } z \notin \Sigma^{(-1, \beta)} \text {, moreover if } z<\frac{1}{\beta} \text { then } z \notin \Sigma^{\left(-\beta^{2}, \beta\right)} \text {; } \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}=\Sigma^{(-1, \beta)} \cap \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{-}=\Sigma^{(-\beta, 1)} \cap \mathbb{R}^{-}$;
(iii) $\Sigma^{(-1,1)} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \subset \Sigma^{(-\beta, \beta)} \subset \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}}{\beta}$;
(iv) $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}+\left\{0, \pm \frac{1}{\beta}, \ldots, \pm \frac{a}{\beta}\right\} \subset \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}}{\beta^{2}}$.

Proof.
(i) Recall that $z^{\prime}=\Sigma_{i=-m}^{n}(-1)^{i} z_{i} \beta^{-i}$. Since $z_{m} \neq 0$ then by admissibility $z_{-m+1} \leqslant a-1$ and the other $z_{i}$ 's are $\leqslant a$. If $m$ is even, $z^{\prime}>-a\left(\cdots+\beta^{m-5}+\beta^{m-3}\right)-(a-1) \beta^{m-1}+\beta^{m}=$
$-a \frac{\beta^{m-1}}{\beta^{2}-1}+\beta^{m-1}+\beta^{m-2}=\beta^{m-1}$, hence $z^{\prime} \notin(-1, \beta)$. If $m$ is odd, $z^{\prime}<a\left(\cdots+\beta^{m-5}+\right.$ $\left.\beta^{m-3}\right)+(a-1) \beta^{m-1}-\beta^{m}=-\beta^{m-1}$, hence $z^{\prime} \notin(-1, \beta)$. Note that if $0<z<\frac{1}{\beta}$ then $m \geqslant 2$.
(ii) Let $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$and let $\left(z_{i}\right)_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n}$ be its $\beta$-expansion, $z=\sum_{0}^{n} z_{i} \beta^{i}$ and $z^{\prime}=$ $\sum_{0}^{n}(-1)^{i} z_{i} \beta^{-i}$. From $\cdots-z_{3} \beta^{-3}-z_{1} \beta^{-1} \leqslant z^{\prime} \leqslant z_{0}+z_{2} \beta^{-2}+\ldots$ we know that $-1<z^{\prime}<\frac{a \beta^{2}}{\beta^{2}-1}=\beta$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+} \subset \sum^{(-1, \beta)} \cap \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Conversely, let $z \geqslant 0, z \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ such that $z^{\prime} \in(-1, \beta)$ then it follows from (i) that the $\beta$-expansion of $z$ has the form $\left(z_{i}\right)_{-m \leqslant i \leqslant n}$, where $m$ has to be $\geqslant 0$, hence $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$.
(iii) The proof is a direct consequence of (ii).
(iv) It is easy to see that $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}+\left\{0, \frac{1}{\beta}, \ldots, \frac{a}{\beta}\right\} \subset \Sigma^{\left(-\beta^{2}, \beta\right)} \subset \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}}{\beta^{2}}$. On the other hand, taking any non-zero $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$, we know that $z \geqslant 1$. By using the inequality $\beta+a \beta<\beta^{3}$ we can assert that $z-\left\{0, \frac{1}{\beta}, \ldots, \frac{a}{\beta}\right\} \subset \Sigma^{\left(-1, \beta^{3}\right)} \cap \mathbb{R}^{+} \subset \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}}{\beta^{2}}$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\beta$ be the root $>1$ of $x^{2}-a x-1, a \geqslant 1$. Then

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}+\mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}+\left\{0, \pm \frac{1}{\beta}\right\} \subset \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}}{\beta^{2}}
$$

Proof. Pick any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$, then $z=x+y \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$, with $z \geqslant 0$, hence $z^{\prime} \in(-2,2 \beta)$. If $z^{\prime} \in(-1, \beta)$, then lemma 4.1(ii) gives us that $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$. If $z^{\prime} \in[\beta, 2 \beta)$, then we define $g=z+\frac{1}{\beta}$ which fulfils $g>0, g^{\prime}=z^{\prime}-\beta \in[0, \beta)$. Again, by using the previous lemma we have that $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$and so $z=g-\frac{1}{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}-\frac{1}{\beta}$. Similarly, if $z^{\prime} \in(-2,-1]$ then $z>1$ and we define a positive number $g=z-\frac{1}{\beta}$ with $g^{\prime}=z^{\prime}+\beta \in(-1, \beta)$ which is in $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$. Hence we see that $z=g+\frac{1}{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}+\frac{1}{\beta}$.

Pick any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$, such that $z=x-y \geqslant 0$, hence $z^{\prime} \in(-1-\beta, 1+\beta)$. If $z^{\prime} \in(-1, \beta)$ then $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$. If $z^{\prime} \in\left[\beta, 1+\beta\right.$ ), then $g=z+\frac{1}{\beta}$ fulfils $g>0, g^{\prime}=z^{\prime}-\beta \in(0,1)$, hence $z=g-\frac{1}{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}-\frac{1}{\beta}$. If $z^{\prime} \in(-1-\beta,-1] \subset\left(-\beta^{2}, \beta\right)$ then we apply lemma 4.1(i) and we get that $z \geqslant \frac{1}{\beta}$. We can define a nonnegative number $g=z-\frac{1}{\beta}, g^{\prime}=z^{\prime}+\beta \in(-1, \beta)$ which is in $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$. Hence $z=g+\frac{1}{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}+\frac{1}{\beta}$. Other cases are symmetrical.

Proposition 4.2. Let $\beta$ be the root $>1$ of $x^{2}-a x-1, a \geqslant 1$. Then

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}+\left\{0, \pm \frac{1}{\beta}, \ldots, \pm \frac{a}{\beta}\right\} \subset \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}}{\beta^{2}}
$$

Proof. Pick any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ such that $z=x y \geqslant 0$. From lemma 4.1(ii) we get that $z^{\prime} \in\left(-\beta, \beta^{2}\right)$. If $z^{\prime} \in(-1, \beta)$, then $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$. If $z^{\prime} \in(-\beta,-1]$, we apply lemma 4.1(i) and we get that $z \geqslant \frac{1}{\beta}$. We define a non-negative $g=z-\frac{1}{\beta}$ with $g^{\prime}=z^{\prime}+\beta \in(0, \beta)$ which is in $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$, hence $z=g+\frac{1}{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}+\frac{1}{\beta}$. If $z^{\prime} \in[(k-1) \beta, k \beta), k \in\{2, \ldots, a\}$, then $g=z+\frac{k-1}{\beta}$ fulfils $g>0, g^{\prime} \in[0, \beta)$ and so $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$. So we can rewrite $z$ as $z=g-\frac{k-1}{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}-\frac{k-1}{\beta}$. If $z^{\prime} \in\left[a \beta, \beta^{2}=a \beta+1\right)$, we put $g=z+\frac{a}{\beta}$. Then $g>0, g^{\prime} \in(0,1)$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}-\frac{a}{\beta}$. Finally, for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ such that $z=x y<0$, we know from the above that $-z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}+\left\{0, \pm \frac{1}{\beta}, \ldots, \pm \frac{a}{\beta}\right\}$.

### 4.2. Case where $\beta$ is the root $>1$ of the polynomial $x^{2}-a x+1, a \geqslant 3$

The canonical alphabet is $A=\{0, \ldots, a-1\}$, the $\beta$-expansions of 1 is eventually periodic, $d(1, \beta)=0 .(a-1)(a-2)^{\omega}$, and every number of $\mathbb{Z}^{+}[\beta]$ (the cone of first degree polynomials in $\beta$ with non-negative integer coefficients) has a finite $\beta$-expansion [11].

The interesting fact is that, if $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$and its $\beta$-expansion is $z=\sum_{i=0}^{k} z_{i} \beta^{i}$, then the $\beta$-expansion of $(z)^{\prime}\left({ }^{\prime}\right.$ is the Galois automorphism $\left.\beta \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\beta}\right)$ is $(z)^{\prime}=\sum_{i=-k}^{0} z_{i} \beta^{i}$ where $z_{i}=z_{-i}$.
Lemma 4.2. Let $\beta$ be the root $>1$ of $x^{2}-a x+1, a \geqslant 3$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta] \cap \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Then
(i) $z$ has a finite $\beta$-expansion if and only if $z^{\prime} \in(0, \infty)$
(ii) $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}=\Sigma^{[0, \beta)} \cap \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{-}=\Sigma^{(-\beta, 0]} \cap \mathbb{R}^{-}$
(iii) $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \subset \Sigma^{(-\beta, \beta)} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}+\left\{0, \pm \frac{1}{\beta}\right\}$.

Proof.
(i) If $0<z=\sum_{-m}^{n} z_{i} \beta^{i}$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, z_{i} \geqslant 0$, then $z^{\prime}=\sum_{-m}^{n} z_{i} \beta^{-i}>0$. If $z=c \beta+d>0, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, z^{\prime} \in(0, \infty)$, then $c / \beta+d>0$ and $c+d \beta>0$.

- If $c, d \geqslant 0$, then $z$ is in $\mathbb{Z}^{+}[\beta]$, and due to [11] we know that $z$ has a finite $\beta$-expansion.
- Let $c<0, d>0$. Since there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta^{l-1} \leqslant-c<\beta^{l}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \leqslant l$ such that $\beta^{k} z \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}[\beta]$. To find such a $k$, we carry out the following procedure. From $z=c \beta+d>0$ and $\beta=a-1 / \beta$, it follows that $c a+d>\frac{c}{\beta}$. If $(c a+d) \geqslant 0$, then $\beta z=(c a+d) \beta-c \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}[\beta]$. Otherwise $\beta z=c_{1} \beta+d_{1}>0, c_{1}<0, d_{1}>0$ and $c_{1} a+d_{1}>\frac{c a+d}{\beta}>\frac{c}{\beta^{2}}$. Since $\frac{c}{\beta^{l}}>-1$, it follows that there exists a $k \leqslant l$ such that $\beta^{k} z \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}[\beta]$ and this implies that $z$ has a finite $\beta$-expansion.
- Let $c>0, d<0$. The previous case implies that $\beta z^{\prime}=d \beta+c>0$ has a finite $\beta$-expansion and so $z$ has a finite $\beta$-expansion.
(ii) Let $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$and let $\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{0}^{n}$ be its $\beta$-expansion. We have $z^{\prime}=z_{0}+z_{1} \beta^{-1}+\cdots+z_{n} \beta^{-n}$. Since $\left(z_{i}\right)_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n}$ is a $\beta$-expansion, we have $z_{n} \ldots z_{0} \leqslant l e x(a-1)(a-2) \ldots(a-2)$, where $\leqslant_{l e x}$ denotes the lexicographic ordering. In fact, it is easy to see that the forbidden blocks are of the form $(a-1)(a-2) \ldots(a-2)(a-1)$, thus if $z_{n} \ldots z_{0}$ is a $\beta$-expansion then $z_{0} \ldots z_{n}$ is a $\beta$-expansion as well. From this it follows that $0 \leqslant z^{\prime}=z_{0}+z_{1} \beta^{-1}+\cdots+z_{n} \beta^{-n}<\beta$. Conversely, let $z \geqslant 0, z \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ such that $z^{\prime} \in[0, \beta)$. From (i) we know that the $\beta$-expansion of $z$ is finite, $z=\sum_{-m}^{n} z_{i} \beta^{i}, z_{-m} \neq 0, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $m=0$, then $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$. If $m \neq 0$, then $z=\sum_{0}^{n} z_{i} \beta^{i}+\sum_{-m}^{-1} z_{i} \beta^{i}$, and $z^{\prime}=\sum_{0}^{n} z_{i} \beta^{-i}+\sum_{-m}^{-1} z_{i} \beta^{-i}$, where $0 \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{n} z_{i} \beta^{-i}<\beta$. Since $z_{-m} \neq 0$ we have $\sum_{-m}^{-1} z_{i} \beta^{-1} \geqslant \beta^{m}$ and hence $z^{\prime} \notin[0, \beta)$. Therefore $m=0$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}=\Sigma^{[0, \beta)} \cap \mathbb{R}^{+}$. The proof of $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{-}=\Sigma^{(-\beta, 0]} \cap \mathbb{R}^{-}$is similar.
(iii) The first inclusion is a direct consequence of (ii). Let $z \in \Sigma^{(-\beta, \beta)}$. If $z \geqslant 0$ and $z^{\prime} \in[0, \beta)$, then $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$by (i). If $z \geqslant 0$ and $z^{\prime} \in(-\beta, 0)$, then $z^{\prime}+\beta=\left(z+\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{\prime} \in(0, \beta)$ and $z+\frac{1}{\beta} \geqslant 0$. Thus by (i), $z+\frac{1}{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$and $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}+\left\{-\frac{1}{\beta}\right\}$. The case $z<0$ is symmetrical.

Proposition 4.3. Let $\beta$ be the root $>1$ of $x^{2}-a x+1, a \geqslant 3$. Then
(i) $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}+\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+} \subset \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}}{\beta}$,
(ii) $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}-\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}+\left\{0, \pm \frac{1}{\beta}\right\}$.

Proof.
(i) Using the fact, that $\beta>2$ and lemma 4.2(ii), we find that

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}+\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+} \subset \Sigma^{(0,2 \beta)} \subset \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}}{\beta}
$$

(ii) In general the difference of two positive $\beta$-integers may have an infinite eventually periodic $\beta$-expansion. For instance, the $\beta$-expansion of $\beta-1$ is equal to $(a-2)(a-2)^{\omega}$. Nevertheless, $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}-\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+} \subset \Sigma^{(-\beta, \beta)} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}+\left\{0, \pm \frac{1}{\beta}\right\}$ by lemma 4.2 (iii).

Proposition 4.4. Let $\beta$ be the root $>1$ of $x^{2}-a x+1, a \geqslant 3$. Then

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \subset \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}}{\beta}
$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$and $z=x y \geqslant 0$. Since $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime} \in[0, \beta), z^{\prime}=x^{\prime} y^{\prime} \in\left[0, \beta^{2}\right)$. Then $\frac{z^{\prime}}{\beta}=(\beta z)^{\prime} \in[0, \beta)$ and by lemma 4.2(ii), $\beta z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$, hence $z \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}}{\beta}$.

Final remark. Note again (see also (17)-(19)) that the above results (30)-(33) concerning inclusion of sets are crucial for understanding the labelling role played by these $\beta$-integers, as we particularly stressed in the introduction.

## 5. Quasiring structures and modules on quasirings

We wish here to emphasize a mathematical aspect that emerges from discrete structures that we have described in this paper. Precisely this concept of equivalence classes of Meyer quasilattices and related additive and multiplicative laws. We first consider our simplest example of a Meyer quasilattice, i.e. the set of $\tau$-integers $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$. Let us restrict the Meyer definition of equivalence modulo finite sets to these $F$ 's that are finite subset of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$. Clearly we still have

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}=\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \quad(\bmod F) \quad \mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}=\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \quad(\bmod F)
$$

or, equivalently, in terms of equivalence classes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\mathbb{Z}}_{\tau}=\left\{\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+F ; F \subset \mathbb{Z}[\tau] \text { finite }\right\} \\
& \dot{\mathbb{Z}}_{\tau}+\dot{\mathbb{Z}}_{\tau}=\dot{\mathbb{Z}}_{\tau} \quad \dot{\mathbb{Z}}_{\tau} \dot{\mathbb{Z}}_{\tau}=\dot{\mathbb{Z}}_{\tau} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we concentrate on the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$ as representatives of the elements of the set $\dot{\mathbb{Z}}_{\tau}$, the above laws induce the following ones on the $\tau$-integers. If $x+y=$ $\eta+f, f \in F=\left\{0, \pm \frac{1}{\tau}, \pm \frac{1}{\tau^{2}}\right\}$ we shall write $x \dot{+} y=\eta$, and similarly $x \dot{x} y=\theta$, if $x y=\theta+g, g \in F$. The mathematical definition of this precisely relies upon the $\tau$ numeration system and is clearly non-ambiguous: if $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x+y|=\sum_{-2}^{k} c_{i} \tau^{i} \quad|x y|=\sum_{-2}^{k} d_{i} \tau^{i} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \dot{+} y=\operatorname{sign}(x+y) \sum_{0}^{k} c_{i} \tau^{i} \quad x \dot{\propto} y=\operatorname{sign}(x y) \sum_{0}^{k} d_{i} \tau^{i} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $\dot{+}$ and $\dot{\times}$ map from $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$ onto $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$.

Despite this evidence of strong departure from a ring structure, we shall adopt the term quasiring structure. Similarly, any quasilattice of the type (6) in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ will be said to be endowed with the structure of a module on the quasiring $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$. The reason lying behind this choice of terminology is our conviction that structural properties of $\Lambda$ are very close to that of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. For instance, it is well known that lattices are the orbit of themselves considered as a symmetry group acting on a finite subset. We have something similar to the quasiring $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$. Using the mapping $\dot{+}$ it is easy to build up $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$ from a 'seed' set similar to the crystal case. The starting set is $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}^{0}=\{0\}$. We denote $\Gamma=\{ \pm 1\}$ and put $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}^{k+1}=\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}^{k} \dot{+} \Gamma$. Then $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}=\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}^{k}$, i.e. any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$, can be reached from the starting point 0 through a finite number of quasiadditions involving elements in $\Gamma$. In this sense $\Gamma$ can be considered as a 'growth set'. The interpretation of that fact in the Fibonacci numeration system is the successor function, which maps the Fibonacci representation of the natural number $n$ onto the Fibonacci representation of $n+1$. Actually, we have a similar result for any quadratic unit Pisot numbers.

As for (34) and (35) we define laws $\dot{+}, \dot{-}, \dot{\times}$ by truncating the $\beta$-expansion of $x+y$, $x-y, x y$ respectively, after the radix point. By the previous results, we know that fractional parts (i.e. parts after radix point) of these $\beta$-expansions belong to finite sets. More precisely, if $\left(c_{i}\right)_{-\infty \leqslant i \leqslant k}$ is the $\beta$-expansion of $|x+y|$, then

$$
x \dot{+} y=\operatorname{sign}(x+y) \sum_{i=0}^{k} c_{i} \beta^{i} .
$$

If $\left(c_{i}\right)_{-\infty \leqslant i \leqslant k}$ is the $\beta$-expansion of $|x-y|$ then

$$
x \dot{-} y=\operatorname{sign}(x-y) \sum_{i=0}^{k} c_{i} \beta^{i}
$$

And if $\left(c_{i}\right)_{-\infty \leqslant i \leqslant k}$ is the $\beta$-expansion of $|x y|$ then

$$
x \dot{\times} y=\operatorname{sign}(x y) \sum_{i=0}^{k} c_{i} \beta^{i}
$$

Proposition 5.1. Let $\beta$ be a quadratic unit Pisot, and let $\Gamma=\{ \pm 1\}$. Then

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}=\cup_{k \geqslant 0} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{k} \quad \text { where } \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{0}=\{0\} \text { and } \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{k+1}=\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{k} \dot{+} \Gamma
$$

Proof. By construction we know that $\cup_{k \geqslant 0} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{k} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$. On the other hand assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}=\left\{|x| \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+} \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}, x \notin \cup_{k \geqslant 0} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{k}\right\} \neq \emptyset . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We pick the minimum of the set $\mathcal{S}, s_{\text {min }}=\min \{x \in \mathcal{S}\}$. We note that $s_{\text {min }}>1$ and we distinguish two possible cases.

- Let $\beta$ be the root $>1$ of $x^{2}=a x+1, a \geqslant 1$. By using lemma 4.1(ii) we know that $s_{\min }^{\prime} \in(-1, \beta)$. Hence if $s_{\min }^{\prime} \in(0, \beta)$ then $\left(s_{\min }-1\right)^{\prime} \in(-1, \beta-1)$ hence $\left(s_{\text {min }}-1\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$. Since $s_{\text {min }}$ is the minimum of the set $\mathcal{S}$, we know that $\left(s_{\text {min }}-1\right) \in \cup_{k \geqslant 0} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{k}$ and so $s_{\text {min }}=\left(s_{\text {min }}-1\right) \dot{+1} \in \cup_{k \geqslant 0} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{k}$, which is a contradiction. On the other hand, if $s_{\text {min }}^{\prime} \in(-1,0)$ then $\left(s_{\text {min }}-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{\prime} \in(-1+\beta, \beta)$ and so $\left(s_{\text {min }}-\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$. Since $s_{\text {min }}$ is the minimum of the set $\mathcal{S},\left(s_{\min }-\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \in \cup_{k \geqslant 0} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{k}$. The fact that $s_{\min }^{\prime} \in(-1,0)$ entails that the $\beta$-expansion of $s_{\min }$ is of the form $s_{\min }=\sum_{i=1}^{j} z_{i} \beta^{i}$. Then we see that $\beta$-expansion of $\left(s_{\min }-\frac{1}{\beta}+1\right)$ is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{j} z_{i} \beta^{i}+\frac{a-1}{\beta}+\frac{1}{\beta^{2}}$ and so $s_{\min }=\left(s_{\min }-\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \dot{+} 1 \in \cup_{k \geqslant 0} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{k}$. So we get again a contradiction with (36).
- Let $\beta$ be the root $>1$ of $x^{2}=a x-1, a \geqslant 3$. Here, similarly to the previous case, we show the contradiction $s_{\text {min }} \in \cup_{k \geqslant 0} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{k}$. By using lemma 4.2(ii) we know that $s_{\text {min }}^{\prime} \in(0, \beta)$. If $s_{\text {min }}^{\prime} \in(1, \beta)$ then $\left(s_{\text {min }}-1\right)^{\prime} \in(0, \beta-1)$ hence $\left(s_{\text {min }}-1\right) \in \cup_{k \geqslant 0} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{k}$ and so $s_{\text {min }}=\left(s_{\text {min }}-1\right) \dot{+} 1 \in \cup_{k \geqslant 0} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{k}$. Next, if $s_{\text {min }}^{\prime} \in(0,1)$, then $\left(s_{\text {min }}-1+\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{\prime} \in(-1+\beta, \beta)$ hence $s_{\text {min }}=\left(s_{\text {min }}-1+\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \dot{+1} \in \cup_{k \geqslant 0} \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{k}$.

We have here two internal commutative laws which are not associative, and distributivity does not hold either $x \dot{+}(y \dot{+} z) \neq(x \dot{+} y) \dot{+} z, \quad x \dot{\times}(y \dot{\times} z) \neq(x \dot{\times} y) \dot{\times} z$ and $x \dot{\times}(y \dot{+} z) \neq(x \dot{\times} y) \dot{+}(x \dot{\times} z)$. For instance $\left(\tau^{2}+1\right) \dot{\times}\left(1 \dot{+}\left(\tau^{2}+1\right)\right)=\tau^{5}+\tau^{3}$, whereas $\left(\tau^{2}+1\right) \dot{+}\left(\left(\tau^{2}+1\right) \dot{\times}\left(\tau^{2}+1\right)\right)=\tau^{5}+\tau^{3}+1$.

The non-associativity and non-distributivity are encoded by the range of values assumed by the three following maps from $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ into $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$. Additive associator

$$
[x, y, z]_{+}=((x \dot{+} y) \dot{+} z) \dot{-}(x \dot{+}(y \dot{+} z)) \in F_{+} .
$$

One conjectures that the above set $F_{+}$is simply equal to $\{ \pm 1\}$. Multiplicative associator

$$
[x, y, z]_{\times}=(x \dot{\times} y) \dot{\times} z \dot{-} x \dot{\times}(y \dot{\times} z) \in X_{\times}
$$

and distributor

$$
[x, y, z]_{+}^{\times}=x \dot{\times}(y \dot{+} z) \dot{-}(x \dot{\times} y \dot{-} x \dot{\times} z) \in X_{\times} .
$$

The two sets $X$ are not finite and a systematic rescaling of these associator and distributor in function of $\operatorname{deg}_{\beta}(x), \operatorname{deg}_{\beta}(y)$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{\beta}(z)$, is certainly needed here in order to get finite sets.

## 6. Five-fold quasilattices in the plane and in space

$d$-dimensional discrete sets of the form (6) can be built on the $\beta$-integers $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$ :

$$
\Lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \mathbb{Z}_{\tau} e_{i}
$$

where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is a set of $d$ linearly independent vectors. $\Lambda$ is a Meyer quasilattice by construction. More precisely we have

$$
\Lambda=-\Lambda \quad \text { and } \quad \Lambda-\Lambda \subset \Lambda+F \subset \Lambda / \tau^{2}
$$

The set $\Lambda$ is self similar

$$
\tau \Lambda \subset \Lambda
$$

and from theorem 3.2. we have that

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \Lambda \subset \Lambda+F \subset \Lambda / \tau^{2}
$$

Generically, the vectors $e_{i}$ 's have distinct arbitrary directions in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Five-fold or ten-fold symmetries appear as locally possible if those directions are appropriately chosen. This is due to the 'cyclotomic' nature of the golden mean

$$
\tau=2 \cos \frac{\pi}{5} \quad \frac{1}{\tau}=\tau-1
$$

By this way we can introduce in the complex plane 'canonical' $\tau$-quasilattices or $\tau$-grids:

$$
\Gamma_{q} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \zeta^{q} \quad \text { where } \zeta=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi}{5}} \text { and } q=1,2,3 \text { or } 4
$$



Figure 4. $\tau$-grid $\Gamma_{1}$.

The $\tau$-grids $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ are shown in figures 4 and 6 . Those $\tau$-grids are not rotationally invariant with respect to the origin. However, they are almost so. This is clear from the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{2}=\tau \zeta-1 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

For instance, we have from theorem 3.1.

$$
\zeta \Gamma_{1}=\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\tau \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}\right) \zeta \subset \Gamma_{1}+\left\{0, \pm \frac{1}{\tau}, \pm \frac{1}{\tau^{2}}\right\} \zeta
$$

and similar inclusions for $\Gamma_{q}$.
In order to see how these $\tau$-grids are obtained by the cut and project method, we calculate their respective algebraically conjugate sets within the ring generated by $\tau$ and $\zeta$, which is actually the cyclotomic ring $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}[\tau]+\mathbb{Z}[\tau] \zeta \equiv \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The standard automorphism (16) in $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ induces the following one in (38)

$$
\wp=x+y \zeta \longrightarrow \wp^{*}=x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} \zeta^{*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{*}=\zeta^{3} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (39) is indeed consistent with

$$
\zeta+\bar{\zeta}=\tau \rightarrow \tau^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{\tau}=\zeta^{*}+\bar{\zeta}^{*}
$$

and note that (39) is of order 4 since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\zeta^{*}\right)^{*}=\bar{\zeta} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (40) and (19)-(21) we have
$\mathbb{Z}[\tau] \cap(-1,1)+(\mathbb{Z}[\tau] \cap(-1,1)) \zeta^{3} \subset \Gamma_{1}^{*} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\tau] \cap(-\tau, \tau)+(\mathbb{Z}[\tau] \cap(-\tau, \tau)) \zeta^{3}$.
In figure 5 we see that $\Gamma_{1}^{*}$ fills the $\tau$-rhombus $\mathcal{R}_{\tau}=(-\tau, \tau) \times(-\tau, \tau) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{3 i \pi}{5}}$, but the equality

$$
\mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \cap P=\Gamma_{1}^{*} \cap P
$$

holds only for the smaller rhombus $P \equiv \mathcal{R}_{1}$.


Figure 5. Algebraic conjugate $\Gamma_{1}^{*}$ of the $\tau$-grid $\Gamma_{1}$.


Figure 6. $\tau$-grid $\Gamma_{2}$.

This corresponds to different rhombic windows in the perpendicular plane along which the $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$-lattice points are projected onto $\Gamma_{1}$ (up to a $\frac{3 \pi}{5}$ rotation). These windows are shifted with respect to each other in order to make $\Gamma_{1}$ symmetrical with respect to the origin. The grid $\Gamma_{q}$ has subsets invariant with respect to $36^{\circ}$-rotations about the origin. We have different possibilities to build up such sets (up to a scaling by $\tau^{k}$ ). We can consider for


Figure 7. Algebraic conjugate $\Gamma_{2}^{*}$ of the $\tau$-grid $\Gamma_{2}$.
instance unions of grids $\Gamma_{q}$

$$
\Sigma_{q} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \bigcup_{j=0}^{4} \zeta^{j} \Gamma_{q} \quad \text { for } q=1,2,3,4
$$

and better, we can 'force' the 10 -fold symmetry in a cyclotomic way by introducing the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}[\zeta] \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{j=0}^{4} \zeta^{j} \mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

with self-explanatory notations. Note that we could have also chosen $\zeta^{2}=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 i \pi}{5}}$ from the fact that $\zeta^{6}=-\zeta, \zeta^{8}=-\zeta^{3}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}=-\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$, and so

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}\left[\zeta^{2}\right]=\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}[\zeta]
$$

Clearly

$$
\zeta \Sigma_{q}=\Sigma_{q} \quad \text { for } q=1,2,3,4 \text { and } \zeta \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}[\zeta]=\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}[\zeta]
$$

Those sets also have nice algebraic properties:
Proposition 6.1. The sets $\Lambda_{0} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}[\zeta]$ and $\Lambda_{q} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \Sigma_{q}$, where $q=1,2,3,4$, are Meyer quasilattices. In particular, $\Lambda_{0}$ is characterized by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{0}+\Lambda_{0} \subset \Lambda_{0}+F_{0} \quad \text { where } F_{0}=F+F \zeta \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x=\sum_{j=0}^{6} \xi_{j} \tau^{-j}, \text { with } \xi_{j} \in\{0,1\} \text { and } \xi_{j} \xi_{j+1}=0\right\} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.
(i) We have to prove that the $\Lambda_{q}$ is, for $q \in\{0,1,2,3,4\}$, a Delaunay set. By using formula (37) and theorem 3.1, we obtain the following inclusions
$\Lambda_{0} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\tau\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}\right)+\left(\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}\right)+\tau\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}\right)\right) \zeta \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\tau} / \tau^{4}+\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} / \tau^{4} \zeta=\Gamma_{1} / \tau^{4}$
and
$\Lambda_{q} \subset\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}\right)+\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}\right) \zeta \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\tau} / \tau^{2}+\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} / \tau^{2}\right) \zeta=\Gamma_{1} / \tau^{2} \quad$ for $q=1,2,3,4$


Figure 8. Quasicyclotomic ring $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}\left[e^{\frac{i \pi}{5}}\right]$.
i.e. each $\Lambda_{q}$ is embedded in a thinner ' $\tau$-grid' which has of course the Delaunay property. On the other hand each of those $\Lambda_{q}$ contains a looser $\tau$-grid (for example $\Gamma_{1} \subset \Lambda_{0}$ ), which is also Delaunay. From this fact it follows that the $\Lambda_{q}$ 's, $q \in\{0,1,2,3,4\}$, are Delaunay sets.
(ii) There exists a finite $F_{q}$ such that $\Lambda_{q}-\Lambda_{q}=\Lambda_{q}+\Lambda_{q} \subset \Lambda_{q}+F_{q}, q \in\{0,1,2,3,4\}$. At first we prove (ii) for $\Lambda_{0}$. From (44) we have that

$$
\Lambda_{0}+\Lambda_{0} \subset \Gamma_{1} / \tau^{4}+\Gamma_{1} / \tau^{4} \subset \Gamma_{1} / \tau^{6}
$$

then by using

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} / \tau^{6} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+F
$$

where $F$ is defined in (43) we get (42). Similarly, it is obvious that $\Lambda_{q}$, for $q \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, is a Meyer quasilattice.

Note that we could also prove the same for the 10 -fold set $\bigcup_{q=1}^{4} \Sigma_{q}$. The 'quasicyclotomic' ring $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}[\zeta]$ that we have introduced in (41) has aesthetic nice properties which can be seen in figure 8. Its algebraic conjugate set is displayed in figure 9. The double decagonal nature originates from the property (19). One can assert that

$$
D_{1} \cap \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]=D_{1} \cap\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}[\zeta]\right)^{*} \quad \text { whereas }\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}[\zeta]\right)^{*} \subset D_{2 \tau^{2}} \cap \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]
$$

where $D_{\alpha}$ is the decagon with radius $\alpha$ and centred at the origin.


Figure 9. Galois conjugate of the quasicyclotomic ring $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi}{5}}\right]$.
The existence of the symmetric Delaunay set $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}[\zeta]$ within the dense ring $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is reminiscent of the discrete reduction of the cyclotomic ring

$$
\mathbb{Z}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathrm{i} \pi}{n}}\right]=\sum_{q=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 \mathrm{i} q \pi}{n}}=\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 \mathrm{i} \pi}{n}}
$$

when $n=1,2,3,4$ and 6 , i.e. when $n$ is crystallographic. In this case, replacing $\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}$ leads to something similar:

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{i \pi}{5}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\tau}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i} \frac{\pi}{5}}{5}}\right] \subset\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}+\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{i \pi}{5}}\right) / \tau^{4}
$$

For other non-crystallographic $n$ it would be necessary to find an appropriate Pisotcyclotomic number $\beta$ (see [14]). $\beta=\gamma=1+\sqrt{2}$ and $\beta=\delta=2+\sqrt{3}$ from (3), (4) are such examples.

We wish to close this section by mentioning the three-dimensional version of the $\Gamma_{q}$ 's and $\mathbb{Z}_{\tau}[\zeta]$. These sets seem to be fundamental for quasicrystals and they are fully described in [4]. Some insights are just given here.

A canonical three-dimensional $\tau$-grid is given by

$$
\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha}+\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\gamma}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ are position vectors of three five-fold icosahedron vertices forming an equilateral triangle . For instance,

$$
\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1, \frac{1}{\tau}, 0\right) \quad \boldsymbol{\beta}=\frac{1}{2}\left(0,1, \frac{1}{\tau}\right) \quad \gamma=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}, 0,1\right) .
$$

Again we can force the structure in order to have a complete five-fold symmetry by considering the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{6} \mathbb{Z}_{\tau} \vec{\alpha}_{i} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

when the sum runs on half of the set of all icosahedron vertices. By construction the set algebraically conjugate to (45) will densely fill a contracted icosahedron in space.
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